Land use in geographic locations where ownership is contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# 12

**RED FLAG NO.** 

**RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN** 

# Such as:

Relying on land use, including for infrastructure, energy, extractive or carbon offset projects or the provision of commodities (e.g., timber, biofuels), in locations where:

- Indigenous Peoples have traditional ownership or use of land
- ethnic/minority groups have historically been denied or dispossessed of formal land ownership rights
- women may not have access to legal land ownership
- people live and work on communally held land without formal legal title
- owners of land may have been otherwise dispossessed or moved without consultation or adequate compensation

# **HIGHER-RISK SECTORS:**

- Large-scale infrastructure (e.g. ports, roads, urban development)
- Renewable and conventional electricity generation and distribution (e.g. wind and solar farms, dams, power plants, transmission lines)
- Fossil fuel exploration, extraction and transportation (e.g., oil fields, pipelines)
- · Mining and mineral exploration
- Information and Communication Technology (e.g. phone and transmission lines; masts and towers; data centers)

- Forestry (e.g. timber extraction, pulp and paper)
- Industrial agriculture (e.g. crops, livestock, irrigation projects, biofuels)
- Tourism (e.g. resort areas)
- Nature-based solutions for carbon mitigation/sequestration (e.g., reforestation or afforestation projects, agroforestry)
- Nature conservation projects

Land use in geographic locations where ownership is contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# **RED FLAG NO.**



# (D)

# **KEY QUESTIONS FOR LEADERS TO ASK OR BE ASKED:**

- How does the company confirm that the land acquisitions or use rights granted in higher risk geographies do not in fact infringe legal or traditional ownership or use rights?
- Are there existing agreements with Indigenous Peoples and, if so, how does the company know whether these agreements are based on free, prior and informed consent and fair and equitable benefit sharing?
- How does the company ensure that it does not participate in or benefit from improper forced relocations, and adequately compensates inhabitants in voluntary relocations?
- How does the company ensure that potentially impacted communities have access to safe and effective ways to raise landrelated concerns with the company?
- What specific actions does your company take to ensure that land used in your operations is restored to an equivalent or improved condition after use? How are you planning to finance such actions?

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# **RED FLAG NO.**





# **RISKS TO PEOPLE**

- As stated by the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), "[I]and is not a mere commodity, but an essential element for the realization of many human rights." As a source of livelihood and a strong element of identities of Indigenous Peoples, pastoralists, campesinos and other local communities, land is a fundamental aspect of economic, social and cultural rights. The rights of smallholder farmers are also impacted in locations where land use is contested, as competing interests from governments, corporations, and conservation projects can lead to displacement or restricted access. In such contexts, weak land tenure systems and lack of formal recognition of customary land rights leave smallholders especially vulnerable. This has implications not only for farmers' livelihoods and food security but also broader sustainable development objectives, such as environmental stewardship.
- Companies can be involved with negative impacts where they acquire land rights in locations in which rights to land are contested, relying
  on assurances from sellers or lessors, whether governments or private individuals, without further due diligence to identify whether
  competing claims exist. Human Rights Watch released a detailed report documenting illegal land confiscation from farmers in Myanmar,
  some of which was sold to companies.
- The transition toward a low carbon economy is expanding the range of businesses that carry this business model risk.
  - This is exemplified by the renewable energy value chain, wherein there is not only a voracious appetite for land to develop low carbon energy projects (e.g., wind and solar farms or biofuel processing facilities) there is also increasing demand for land-derived inputs for these low carbon technologies, such as critical minerals or biomass. One study found that the demand for transition minerals is so great that severe shortages are anticipated for several of them by 2100. Given that Indigenous Peoples manage an estimated 20% or more of the Earth's land surface and over 50% of transition minerals needed for the energy transition are located on or near Indigenous territories, these demands for land across the renewable energy value chain are putting further pressure on the rights of Indigenous Peoples, as well as other vulnerable communities.
  - National and corporate net zero commitments are also driving demand for land-based carbon sequestration and mitigation projects ("nature-based solutions") to supply global carbon markets with carbon credits. While such projects are, in principle, undertaken to support positive climate outcomes, the environmental and social safeguards underpinning such projects vary widely. Almost all carbon credit methodologies, standards and certification schemes lack rigorous human rights due diligence and, as a result, can lead to real and acute harms to people. For example, a "REDD+" carbon offsetting project in Cambodia has recently been accused of violating the rights of Indigenous communities by excluding them from decision-making, restricting access to ancestral lands, disrupting livelihoods and failing to uphold protections for Indigenous land tenure and cultural rights.

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# **RED FLAG NO.**





# **RISKS TO PEOPLE**

- The physical impacts of climate change, including increased drought, flooding, and extreme heat, can magnify the risks associated with this business model feature by intensifying competition over scarce resources, accelerating land degradation, and triggering displacement of vulnerable communities. Further, insecure tenure rights limit the ability of these communities to invest in adaptive measures such as soil restoration, water management, or agroforestry, leaving them less able to withstand climate shocks and compounding the impacts on people resulting from this business model feature
- Impacts on land rights, including those arising from corporate activities, affect people differently, with disproportionately negative effects on <u>individuals and groups who are traditionally discriminated against and marginalized</u>, including women, indigenous peoples, rural communities and small-scale farmers. Where tenure is insecure, business activities can inadvertently cause these groups to lose rights to lands and resources, triggering a range of negative social impacts.
  - Rural Poor: In low- and middle-income countries, up to 28 per cent of people have <u>limited access to land</u> or don't have security of tenure. For the rural poor, access to land is the most critical way to make a living. Farming lands, forests, water and fisheries are essential resources for the enjoyment of their right to an adequate standard of living, including the right to adequate food and nutrition, water, and sanitation. Many rural poor people access land and other natural resources under informal systems with <u>limited systematically documented rights</u>, which leaves them vulnerable to dispossession by those who are more powerful.
  - Indigenous Peoples: Indigenous Peoples' have specific human rights to own, use, develop and control the lands, territories and resources that they possess by reason of traditional ownership or other traditional occupation or use, as well as those which they have otherwise acquired. In line with their land-related human rights, set out in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, any project that affects their territories and resources should only be undertaken with their Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC). The lack of recognition of Indigenous Peoples and of their land rights, and differing interpretations of what actions and evidence are needed to demonstrate FPIC, have led to the dispossession and forced evictions of Indigenous Peoples from their ancestral lands, as well as associated impacts to their cultural heritage and traditional practices. Indigenous Peoples' representatives have highlighted "the increasing trend of criminalization and attacks against Indigenous Peoples Human Rights Defenders", including in the context of the low carbon transition.
  - Women: Women also face severe challenges regarding access, use and ownership over land and its resources in many regions, with statistics showing that women consistently own less land than men, regardless of how ownership is conceptualized. Discrimination in inheritance, and/or the lack of proper land tenure and control can affect women's livelihoods, food security, economic independence and physical security, making them more vulnerable to poverty, hunger, gender-based violence and displacement.



Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# RED FLAG NO.





# **RISKS TO PEOPLE**

- Ethnic Minorities: Ethnic minorities are disproportionately affected by low access to and ownership of land, often because of wider societal discrimination. "Land grabbing" is compounding the vulnerability of minorities.
- Land-related conflicts are getting more violent. <u>Between 2012 and 2023, more than 2100 people, including both Indigenous and non-Indigenous human rights defenders, have been killed or disappeared</u> for standing up to protect their homes and lands, with almost 40% of those cases linked to mining & extractives, agribusiness, logging and hydropower.

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# **RED FLAG NO.**



**RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN** 



# **RISKS TO THE BUSINESS**

Operational, Reputational and Financial Risks: Research shows that company-community conflicts may generate the same broad impacts on companies as technical problems, contractual or regulatory disputes, or environmental or safety breakdowns, although they typically do not receive equivalent attention or resources. Protests, blockades, property damage, public campaigns can lead to increased staff time on crisis management, lost productivity and value, work stoppages, project suspension or abandonment, that all increase costs for the business, including through the loss of future opportunities. For a world-class mining project, community conflict will cost roughly US\$20 million per week of delayed production.

- In early 2024, a federal judge ordered the dismantling of Enel's 84 turbine operating wind farm deemed to be trespassing on indigenous land in Oklahoma, US. This tear down is estimated to cost the company US\$260 million, in addition to any damages awarded to the Osage Nation who challenged the wind farm.
- The 2020 destruction of the Juukan Gorge Indigenous cultural heritage site as part of Rio Tinto's mining operations in Western Australia fueled a global uproar, led to three of the company's senior leaders and two board members resigning and triggered a parliamentary enquiry. In 2022, the company settled for an undisclosed sum with the impacted Indigenous communities.
- A 2020 study examined the cost and material losses experienced by Energy Transfer Partners (ETP) and other companies with an ownership stake in the Dakota Access Pipeline (DAPL), which was subject to sustained opposition from

- Indigenous groups and characterized by widespread national and international protests. The owners lost revenue, operating costs and legal fees estimated at US\$7.5 billion, in addition to material downward pressure on the company's share price.
- The Belo Monte dam operations in Brazil were delayed and the hydropower plant's license was suspended in 2016 because operators failed to compensate local communities.
- Similarly, the social impact investing firm AgDevCo faced substantial delays in the development of a large irrigated farm in Ghana because the company was unaware of a long-standing land boundary dispute between two ethnic groups who claimed rights over the area.
- A 2020 study published in the journal Environmental Research found that place-based opposition movements were succeeding in curbing both fossil-fuel and low-carbon energy projects. Of the 649 projects reviewed that had encountered some form of social resistance, more than 25% were "shelved, suspended or delayed", suggesting significant operational and potential reputational speed risk for project proponents.
- A 2019 report from Overseas Development Institute and TMP Systems found that insecure land tenure can cause severe business losses, from project delays and cancellations to bankruptcy. In one East African case, a sugar project was abandoned at a cost of USD 52 million, while broader tenure disputes were found to erode up to three times a project's net present value.



Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.



**RED FLAG NO.** 

**RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN** 



# **RISKS TO THE BUSINESS**

**Legal and Regulatory Risks**: Companies acquiring or using land that results in communities getting displaced expose themselves to lawsuits and formal complaints. For example:

- In 2024, after a 3-year-long legal dispute, a Parisian court ruled that a civil lawsuit brought by Indigenous communities in Mexico against EDF can go ahead. The issues underpinning the lawsuit include violation of land rights and inadequate community consultation. The case was filed under the French Corporate Duty of Vigilance Law, designed to hold French companies accountable for abuses overseas.
- 3,000 Cambodian farmers filed a <u>class-action lawsuit</u> against the Thai sugar group Mitr Phol accusing it of violently displacing the community to make way for plantations.
- ANZ Royal Bank's <u>human rights due diligence was found to be</u>
   <u>lacking by the Australian OECD National Contact Point</u> after
   it provided a USD 40 million loan to the Phnom Penh Sugar
   Company in Cambodia. The loan was given a green light despite
   accusations of forced evictions, military-backed land grabbing,
   arbitrary arrests and intimidation against community members
   involved in land disputes.
- In 2021, the <u>Norwegian Supreme Court ruled in favour of Sami communities</u> who had argued that Europe's largest windfarm (majority owned by Statkraft) disrupted their traditional reindeer herding and husbandry. In March 2024, <u>an agreement was</u> reached with the Sami people, allowing continued windfarm

- operation in exchange for key benefits for the Sami people, including a share of energy produced, a new area for grazing, as well as a NOK 5 million grant.
- After more than a decade of litigation, Canadian mining giant Hudbay settled three lawsuits brought by Guatemalan women that alleged that the company and its subsidiary were complicit in the gang rapes they suffered at the hands of security personnel. The women are part of the Mayan Q'eqchi' community which never accepted the legitimacy of the mining concession on their ancestral lands.

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# RED FLAG NO.



**RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN** 



# WHAT THE UN GUIDING PRINCIPLES SAY:

\*For an explanation of how companies can be involved in human rights impacts, and their related responsibilities, see here.

A company may **contribute** to negative impacts where it acquires land from a State or a third party in circumstances in which it is, or should be, aware that there may be competing claims to the land and does not conduct proper due diligence to investigate land ownership and consult with affected groups. It may also **contribute** to impacts where it makes it more difficult or impossible for the rightful owners to access and benefit from the acquired land.

A company may **cause** impacts where it fails to conduct resettlement processes in line with human rights standards and due process, including the use of excessive force to remove people from land it has acquired. It could also cause negative impacts on people's human rights where it fails to provide adequate remedy for any damage to land, property, water access and quality, or land-based livelihoods resulting from its activities.

Companies downstream of land usage in their **supply chain** may be **linked** to land-related impacts by way of their products or services. For example, a company in the food and beverage industry that purchases agricultural commodities through a food processing company, or directly from a sugar grower or other agricultural company, may be linked to land related abuses occurring at source. Moreover, linkage may occur when a company acquires or uses disputed land despite having taken reasonable measures to verify that the land titles were fully legitimate and not the result of dispossession or any other type of denial of ownership by rightful communities.

In cases of linkage, once the company becomes aware of the claims, it may move into a situation of **contribution** over time if it takes no action to investigate and/or address the impact.



Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# 12 12

**RED FLAG NO.** 

**RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN** 



# POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SDGS:

According to the <u>Voluntary Guidelines on the Governance of Tenure</u>, published by the UN FAO, securing land tenure rights and ensuring responsible land governance is key to achieving the SDGs. Addressing impacts to people associated with this red flag can contribute to, inter alia:



# SDG 1.4: End poverty in all its forms everywhere

By 2030, ensure that all men and women, in particular the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance

Indicator 1.4.2 Proportion of total adult population with secure tenure rights to land, with legally recognized documentation and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex and by type of tenure



# SDG 2.3: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women

By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment



# SDG 5.a: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls

Undertake reforms to give women equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to ownership and control over land and other forms of property, financial services, inheritance and natural resources, in accordance with national laws

- Indicator 5.a.1.(a) Proportion of total agricultural population with ownership or secure rights over agricultural land, by sex; (b) Share of women among owners or rights-bearers of agricultural land, by type of tenure.
- Indicator 5.a.2. Proportion of countries where the legal framework (including customary law) guarantees women's equal rights to land ownership and/or control.



# SDG 7. Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all Indicator 7.2 By 2030, increase substantially the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.



## **DUE DILIGENCE LINES OF INQUIRY:**

Due Diligence Lines of Inquiry adapted from the Danish Institute for Human Rights' Human Rights Compliance Assessment Quick Check.

- Are the State policies and laws that ensure tenure rights non-discriminatory and gender sensitive? Does the State ensure equal tenure rights for women and men, including the right to inherit and pass on these rights?
- Does the State recognize as indigenous any groups that claim indigenous status and does it recognize Indigenous People's rights with regard to land, as set out in the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples?
- Before purchasing land, did we investigate all existing claims and conflicts and consult with all affected parties, including both legal and customary owners, and if indigenous peoples are involved, did we obtain their free, prior and informed consent and have we come to any agreements around fair and equitable benefit-sharing?
- Considering that government maps do not always accurately reflect the traditional land usage of Indigenous Peoples, are we verifying this information, such as with the help of a person with deep expertise in and understanding of indigenous cultures and local tenure arrangements?
- Do we understand the way in which the land may be used by other local, non-Indigenous, communities and the potential implications that our proposed land-use may have on those communities? Do we have a process by which to arrive at benefit-sharing agreements with these non-Indigenous communities?
- When purchasing or leasing property from governments or large-scale land owners, did we investigate past occupation of the land to ensure that no forced relocations had been performed, unless done in conformity with international standards?
- Do we conduct due diligence with regard to the ownership and usage of land from which we derive key commodities or services through our supply chain, where such land is in regions with weak protections for traditional land rights or a history of land-related conflicts?
- Do we ensure that we do not participate in or benefit from improper forced relocations, and that we adequately compensate inhabitants involved in voluntary relocations?
- Does the company honor the rights of local or Indigenous Peoples on company-controlled land?

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN

**RED FLAG NO.** 

# **DUE DILIGENCE LINES OF INQUIRY:**

- Are our employees and security personnel trained to interact appropriately with indigenous and other local communities, allowing safe and unimpeded use of the land and its resources without harassment or intimidation?
- Do we have on-going processes in place to engage with local communities in order to understand any land-related impacts that may arise from our activities? Are we confident that local communities women as well as men feel able to raise issues with the company as part of those dialogues?
- Have we considered women's uses of land? Have women been involved in any decision-making processes about how land will be used and appropriate mitigation measures?
- Does the company have a broader community engagement or Indigenous People's policy and process, which includes the principle of Free, Prior and Informed Consent?
- Do we have processes in place to integrate issues raised by communities into company decision-making in a timely fashion?
- Have we committed sufficient internal resources, capacity and time towards implementing strong policies on respecting rights of Indigenous Peoples and implementation of free, prior and informed consent?
- Does the State provide access to impartial and competent judicial and administrative bodies to resolve disputes over tenure rights, including effective remedies where appropriate?
- Do we have mechanisms for hearing, processing, and settling any grievances of local communities? How confident are we that communities trust those mechanisms and feel able to use them in practice?
- To what extent have we developed a plan for how we might decommission our project at end-of-life? Is this plan adequately financed and has it been consulted with potentially affected stakeholders or their proxies?

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# RED FLAG NO.



# **MITIGATION EXAMPLES:**

\*Mitigation examples are current or historical examples for reference, but do not offer insight into their relative maturity or effectiveness.

- There are a growing number of examples of multistakeholder collaboration to tackle systemic risks related to land. For example, Illovo Sugar Africa, the largest sugar producer in Africa and subsidiary of AB Sugar in the UK, has come together with NGOs, governments and global donors to improve land tenure rights in the countries where it operates. The Maragra project, based around a plantation in Mozambique, targeted about 1,600 farmers to "make them more aware of their rights under Mozambique's land laws; recorded the rights of smallholder farmers through a process of community land mapping; and created a robust grievance mechanism for farmers and the local community."
- In Australia, the multi-stakeholder First Nations Clean Energy Network, which comprises First Nations peoples, community organizations, land councils, unions, academics and industry groups, is focused on making the opportunity of renewable energy available to all. One of the key tools that they have developed is a set of <u>Best Practice Principles for</u> <u>Clean Energy Projects</u>, which are framed to help indigenous communities share the benefits of the expansion of Australia's clean energy sector.
- Establishing conflict resolution mechanisms and properly addressing competing claims to the land can help counterbalance the often unfair or inadequate procedures that allow commercial interests or the local privileged to prevent

- community land claims from being formalized.
- Instead of or in addition to social investments in schools and/or health facilities, "practitioners are stressing the importance of an "intelligent" community relations spend that focuses on hiring the right staff who are committed to building the kinds of relationships with local communities that prevent and mitigate the risk of conflict." (See Costs of Conflict and Getting it Right: Making Corporate-Community Relations Work).
- "'Front-end loading' a budget for community relations to help address social risks provides an opportunity for the community relations function to influence the risk picture of the project as a whole." (Also see <u>Costs of Conflict</u>).

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# **RED FLAG NO.**



## **RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN**

# **ALTERNATIVE MODELS:**

- Some of the alternative models that companies have explored are joint land ownership between communities and companies, as well as options for combining lease and profit-sharing. Land management contracts that prioritize profit-sharing may be an improvement on flat-rate leases. Outgrower arrangements can function as a method of compensation or an alternative to outright land purchase or lease; Landesa offers various resources including a review of best practices literature.
- Agrarian Trust is an evolving land trust structure that offers an alternative to traditional land ownership models while emphasizing regenerative agriculture. By placing farmland into community-centered trusts with 99-year regenerative agriculture-focused leases. the Commons aims to secure land access for next-generation and marginalized farmers, by avoiding speculative land markets and intergenerational tenure insecurity. The model aims to tie stewardship directly to community well-being and ecological outcomes.
- Rio Tinto signed an initial agreement with Australia's Yindjibarndi people and Philippines' ACEN Corp (an energy company) to look at options to develop renewable energy supply for its iron ore operations. The agreement would allow Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) to factor cultural heritage sites, river systems, waterways, and other important places into decision-making for project develop sites. In addition, YAC would take an equity stake in any projects developed on their native title land and would be given preference to community businesses for supply contracts.
- In Canada, the First Nations Major Projects Coalition (FNMPC), a group of 170+ First Nations (comprised of elected councils, hereditary Chiefs, Tribal Councils and Development Corporations), have come together to advance shared interests of participants, and where appropriate gaining equity positions in major infrastructure projects taking place on Indigenous lands and territories. The FNMPC, which provides independent, neutral and non-political advice and information to its membership, is active on several major infrastructure projects located in different areas across Canada.
- The Indigenous Peoples' Rights International (IPRI) and the Business & Human Rights Resource Centre are making the case for a renewable energy transition that centers Indigenous Peoples' rights, interests and prosperity, as determined by them, in pursuit of a global transition that is fast because it is fair and sustainable. Among other resources, the report outlines emerging benefit-sharing modalities with real-world examples of where and how they are being deployed, as well as recommendations for regulators, renewable energy developers and financial institutions.

Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.

# RED FLAGS IN THE VALUE CHAIN

**RED FLAG NO.** 



# **OTHER TOOLS AND RESOURCES:**

# **Guidance for Companies**

- Landesa Business Enterprise Supporting Materials.
- Shift (2018) The Human Rights Opportunity: Land Rights.
- Exploring Shared Prosperity: Indigenous leadership and partnerships for a just transition (2024)
- Indigenous Peoples Major Group, with contributions from the Danish Institute for Human Rights (2018) <u>Doing it right! Sustainable</u> energy and indigenous peoples
- Human Rights and Biodiversity Working Group (2022) A rights-based path for people and planet
- UN Global Compact (2013) Business Guide to the UNDRIP
- UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2014) <u>Respecting Free, Prior and Informed Consent: Practical Guidance for</u>
   Governments, Companies, NGOs, Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities in Relation to Land Acquisition, Governance of Tenure Technical Guide No. 3.
- First Nations Major Projects Coalition Tools & Resources,
- First Nations Clean Energy Network (2023) <u>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Best Practice Principles for Clean Energy Projects</u>
- World Economic Forum (2023) Using a People-positive Approach to Accelerate the Scale-up of Clean Power A C-Suite Guide for Community Engagement (White Paper)

# **Key Standards**

- UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.
- IFC (2012) Performance Standard 5, <u>Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement</u>.
- UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2007) <u>Basic Principles and Guidelines on Development Based Evictions</u> and Displacements (Annex 1 of the report of the Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an



Land use in countries in which ownership is often contested or records are unreliable or land users such as indigenous groups are unrecognized.



# (P)

# **OTHER TOOLS AND RESOURCES:**

adequate standard of living).

- UN OHCHR (2015) Land and Human Rights: Standards and Applications, HR/PUB/15/5/Add.
- UN FAO (2012) Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security.

### Other Useful Materials

- Global Reporting Initiative (2016) <u>Land Tenure Rights</u>.
- · Danish Institute for Human Rights (2024) Human Rights Land Navigator
- Measuring global perceptions of land and property rights (2024), <a href="https://www.prindex.net">https://www.prindex.net</a>
- International Land Coalition (2024) A Land Use and Tenure Indicator to Protect Traditional Knowledge
- ODI and TMP Systems (2019) QTR Tenure Risk Tool
- Realizing Women's Rights to Land and Other Productive Resources
- CORE and Womankind Worldwide (2017) <u>Land Intensive Corporate Activity: The Impact on Women's Rights.</u>
- LandLinks Fact Sheet: Land Tenure and Women's Empowerment.
- Securing Women's Land Rights for Increased Gender Equality, Food Security and Economic Empowerment, UN Chronicle (2023)
- Rights and Resources Initiative, Who Owns the Land? A global baseline of formally recognized indigenous and community land rights.
- FAO (2016) Free Prior and Informed Consent: An indigenous peoples' right and a good practice for local communities

Citation of research papers and other resources does not constitute an endorsement by Shift of their conclusions.

This resource is part of Shift's collection of Business Model Red Flags, developed as part of the Valuing Respect Project and generously funded by Ministry of Foreign Affairs Finland, the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and Norges Bank Investment Management. Learn more at: Shiftproject.org/valuing-respect



15

© Shift Project, Ltd